MOTION REGARDING APPOINTMENT OF TWO MEMBERS OF THE RAJYA SABHA TO THE JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSES ON THE LOKPAL BILL, 1985

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Sir, I beg to move:

"That this House concurs in the recommendation of the Lok Sabha that the Rajya Sabha do appoint two members of Rajya Sabha to the Joint Committee of the Houses on the Bill to provide for the appointment of a Lokpal to inquire into allegations of corruption against Union Ministers and for matters connected therewith in the vacancies caused by the retirement of Sarvashri Lal K. Advani and Hansraj Bhardwaj from the membership of the Rajya Sabha on 2nd April, 1988 and resolves that Sarvashri Lal K. Advani and Hansraj Bhardwaj be appointed to the said Joint Committee to fill the vacancies."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Report and Accounts (1986-87) of the Bharat Bhari Udyog Nigam Limited, Calcutta and related papers

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): Madam, I beg to lay on the Table, under sub-section (1) of section 619A of the Companies Act, 1956, a copy each (in English and Hindi) of the following papers:—

(i) First Annual Report and Accounts of the Bharat Bhari Udyog Nigam Limited, Calcutta, for the year 1986-87, together with the Auditors' Report on the Accounts and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India thereon.

(ii) Statement by Government accepting the above Report. [Placed in Library. *See* No. LT-6207/88 for (i) and (ii)]

REVISED LIST OF BUSINESS

SHRI LAL K. ADVANI (Madhya Pradesh): On a point of order. It is relating to the Government List of Business today. This morning when we received this List of Business we were taken by surprise, because in this List of Business we had presumed that yesterday's unfinished business, namely, that relating to the motion initiated by my colleague, Mr. Upendra, regarding the discussion on the working of the Ministry of Human Resource Development will find the first place and that will be concluded. And we have just one hour for business other than the non-official business. But suddenly we find that listed in this Revised List of Business there is a mention that Shri Jagdish Tytler will move for leave to introduce the Bill further to amend the Trade Unions Act, 1926, and the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Though it is a matter of introduction, yet this Bill runs into 69 clauses, and I for one feel that this is a matter which affects the trade union movement as such and we would like to go through it thoroughly, whether the Memorandum for Delegated Legislation, the Financial Memorandum, etc. are in order, and further whether it is within the competence of this House to pass this Bill or not. Otherwise I would like to oppose this Bill at this stage itself because I know that the entire workers are opposed to it. (*Interruptions*)

Madam, I am not discussing the merits of the Bill. I am merely pointing out that this House is taken for granted. Only last week the Business Advisory Committee met and on the basis of the business we had we felt that we could not do justice to it and